

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/750,863	TAGUCHI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Helene Klemanski	1755

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Helene Klemanski.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. John K. Shin.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 January 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Since claim 5 is dependent upon two claims, applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Exam. Amdt. (incorporating claim 2 into claim 5) to overcome 112 rejection to make application allowable.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)